UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,129	10/24/2006	Alan Kerdraon	4163.04WOUS	8767
	7590 06/17/200 THUENTE, SKAAR &	EXAMINER		
4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			CHRISTENSEN, SCOTT B	
	S, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,129	KERDRAON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Scott Christensen	2444		

	Scott Christensen	2444				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origing the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period fo	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	isideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially reconstructions or responding number of finally rejectives.	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.114.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an on-allowable claim(s).	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co		,			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,13 and 15.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•				
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>						
13.  Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444	/S. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments provide for more functionality associated with the database than previously claimed, and further modifies the fashion in which the events are specified, both of which would require further search and/or consideration. Further, 8 new claims are presented (Claims 16-23), while only 7 claims are cancelled (claims 7-11, 13, and 15).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments appear to focus on the claims in the amendments submitted after final, which, as detailed above, are not entered.